

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
YANGIANG XING,

Plaintiff,

-against-

24 CIVIL 5322 (JMF)

JUDGMENT

UR MENDOZA JADDOU, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:** That for the reasons stated in the Court's Order dated November 6, 2024, Defendant's motion papers, the motion is GRANTED and the case is dismissed substantially for the reasons stated in Defendant's memorandum of law, namely that the action is moot and the Court therefore lacks subject-matter jurisdiction. See ECF No. 15. Additionally, while leave to amend a complaint should be freely given "when justice so requires," Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), it is "within the sound discretion of the district court to grant or deny leave to amend." *McCarthy v. Dun & Bradstreet Corp.*, 482 F.3d 184, 200 (2d Cir. 2007). Although courts should generally grant pro se plaintiffs leave to amend "at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated," *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999) (per curiam) (internal quotation marks omitted), the Court declines to grant such leave here because amendment would be futile, see *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000); accordingly, the case is closed.

Dated: New York, New York

November 7, 2024

DANIEL ORTIZ

Acting Clerk of Court

BY:

K. mango

Deputy Clerk